



OPEN ACCESS

Research paper

Phenol vs. botulinum toxin A injection for managing lower limb spasticity in adult patients with upper motor lesions: A randomized clinical trial

Hamid Reza Farpour^{1,2}, Seyedeh Yasamin Parvar³, Faisal Ahmed⁴, Azadeh Hajhosseini^{2*}, Narges Ghamari¹, Mohamed Badheeb⁵, Hossein-Ali Nikbakht⁶

ABSTRACT

Background: Phenol and botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A) injections are two options for treating spasticity with the ability to select a specific spastic muscle and determine the dosage based on spasticity degree. This study intends to compare the efficacy of BTX-A vs. phenol blockade in treating lower limb spasticity and to evaluate the performance improvement in gross motor functional outcomes among adult patients with upper motor neuron (UMN) lesions.

Methods: This randomized, double-blind clinical trial of 28 spastic lower limb adult patients with UMN was diagnosed between March 1, 2017, to April 30, 2019. Patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to a "BTX-A injections" or a "Phenol injections" group. The outcomes were measured through assessment spasticity by the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS), active range of motion (AROM) of lower limb joint by a goniometer, Verbal Rating Scale (VRS), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), and Penn Spasm Frequency Scale (PSFS) as a baseline and post-injection follow-up at 24 hours, 3 weeks, and 3 months.

Results: All 28 randomized patients were analyzed. No significant difference between the two study arms, neither in demographic characteristics nor in MAS, AROM, VRS, VAS, and PSFS parameters prior to the procedures. AROM showed a significant decrease from baseline throughout the study in the phenol group. While in the BTX-A group, they improved significantly at 3 weeks; no more improvement was observed at 3 months, and the differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). The reduction in MAS, VRS, VAS, and PSFS was statistically significant in each group at 24 hours, 3 weeks, and 3 months after the injection ($p < 0.05$). However, the differences were not significant between the phenol and BTX-A groups ($p > 0.05$), except for PSFS at the 3 months of follow-up in the Phenol group ($p = 0.01$). The need for re-injection at 6 months and 9 months was that 5 patients vs. 0 patients ($p = 0.01$) and 8 patients vs. 3 patients ($p = 0.04$) in the BTX-A and phenol groups, respectively, were statistically significant.

¹Bone and Joint Diseases Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
²Shiraz Geriatric Research Center, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
³Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
⁴Urology Research Center, Al-Thora General Hospital, Department of Urology, School of Medicine, Ibb University of Medical Sciences, Ibb, Yemen
⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Hadhramaut University, Hadhramaut, Yemen
⁶Social Determinates of Health Research Center, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran
*Email: Azadehhajhosseini@yahoo.com

<https://doi.org/10.5339/jemtac.2023.4>

Submitted: 04 July 2022

Accepted: 28 November 2022

© 2023 Farpour, Parvar, Ahmed, Hajhosseini, Ghamari, Badheeb, Nikbakht. licensee HBKU Press.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license CC BY-4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CONCLUSION

Phenol injection showed superior treatment effects in AROM, decreased spasm degree based on PSFS at 3 months, and less frequent re-injection rate compared to BTX-A injections in adult patients with UMN lesions. However, both phenol and BTX-A injections effectively reduce spasticity without significant differences in efficacy and adverse effects. Future studies must be conducted with a longer duration of follow-up, and larger sample sizes better to compare both drugs' effectiveness and side effects.

List of Abbreviations

BTX-A, botulinum toxin type A; UMN, upper motor neuron; MAS, Modified Ashworth Scale; AROM, active range of motion; VRS, Verbal Rating Scale; VAS, Visual Analog Scale; PSFS, Penn Spasm Frequency Scale.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript was extracted from the thesis (No. 13714) of Azadeh Hajhosseini that was approved and granted by the vice-chancellor of research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. The authors thank the research consultation center of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, and the center for the development of clinical research of Nemazee Hospital, H. Argasi for statistical assistance analysis and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for the editorial assistance.

Authors' Contributions

Hamid Reza Farpour and Seyedeh Yasamin Parvar participated in the design of this study; they both performed the statistical analysis and collaborated in the discussion. Faisal Ahmed, Azadeh Hajhosseini, and Hossein-Ali Nikbakht carried out the study and collected important background information. Narges Ghamari and Mohamed Badheeb participated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors of this study declined to declare any competing interests.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Li S. Spasticity, motor recovery, and neural plasticity after stroke. *Front Neurol.* 2017;8:120.
- [2] Patejdl R, Zettl UK. Spasticity in multiple sclerosis: Contribution of inflammation, autoimmune mediated neuronal damage and therapeutic interventions. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2017;16:925–36.
- [3] Garland DE, Lucie RS, Waters RL. Current uses of open phenol nerve block for adult acquired spasticity. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1982:217–22.
- [4] Gonnade N, Lokhande V, Ajj M, Gaur A, Shukla K. Phenol versus botulinum toxin A injection in ambulatory cerebral palsy spastic diplegia: A comparative study. *J Pediatr Neurosci.* 2017;12:338–43.
- [5] Schasfoort F, Pangalila R, Sneekes EM, Catsman C, Becher J, Horemans H, et al. Intramuscular botulinum toxin prior to comprehensive rehabilitation has no added value for improving motor impairments, gait kinematics and goal attainment in walking children with spastic cerebral palsy. *J Rehabil Med.* 2018;50:732–42.
- [6] Manca M, Merlo A, Ferraresi G, Cavazza S, Marchi P. Botulinum toxin type A versus phenol. A clinical and neurophysiological study in the treatment of ankle clonus. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2010;46:11–8.
- [7] Simpson DM, Gracies JM, Yablon SA, Barbano R, Brashear A. Botulinum neurotoxin versus tizanidine in upper limb spasticity: a placebo-controlled study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2009;80:380–5.
- [8] Hu GC, Chuang YC, Liu JP, Chien KL, Chen YM, Chen YF. Botulinum toxin (Dysport) treatment of the spastic gastrocnemius muscle in children with cerebral palsy: a randomized trial comparing two injection volumes. *Clin Rehabil.* 2009;23:64–71.
- [9] Kirazli Y, On AY, Kismali B, Aksit R. Comparison of phenol block and botulinus toxin type A in the treatment of spastic foot after stroke: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 1998;77:510–5.
- [10] Bang MS, Chung SG, Kim SB, Kim SJ. Change of dynamic gastrocnemius and soleus muscle length after block of spastic calf muscle in cerebral palsy. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2002;81:760–4.
- [11] Carpenter EB, Seitz DG. Intramuscular alcohol as an aid in management of spastic cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1980;22:497–501.