Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



# Comparison of the Clinical Effectiveness of Telerehabilitation with Traditional Rehabilitation Methods in Multiple Sclerosis Patients: A Systematic Review

Fatemeh Sarpourian, PhD(c),<sup>1</sup> Roxana Sharifian, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Maryam Poursadeghfard, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Seyed Raouf Khayami, PhD,<sup>3</sup> and Leila Erfannia, PhD<sup>1</sup>

# **Abstract**

Background: The rehabilitation process for multiple sclerosis (MS) patients is long and complex, which can lead to reduced rehabilitation outcomes and reduced quality improvement. Thus, there is a need to use new methods to boost traditional rehabilitation. Innovations such as telerehabilitation can be helpful to remove the obstacles to treatment, but evidence for their effectiveness is limited. The objective of this work was to compare the clinical effectiveness of telerehabilitation with traditional interventions in MS patients.

Methods: Seven bibliographic databases (PubMed, Cochran Library, Scopus, Science Direct, Web of Science, Embase, and ProQuest) were used in this research. The initial search resulted in the extraction of 8,239 articles; after the review of the title, abstract, and full text, 11 articles were selected. In addition, backward reference list checking of the selected studies was conducted. Studies that were related to our objectives were included. Quality assessment was performed using the CONSORT checklist. Then, data extraction was done using the form set by the researcher in Word 2016 software.

Results: Overall, telerehabilitation achieved more positive effects compared to traditional rehabilitation on physical (n=6), cognitive (n=3), cognitive, and physical outcomes (n=2), respectively. Synchronous telerehabilitation was more effective than other modalities (n=8). In four studies, virtual reality-based telerehabilitation was used. Also, telerehabilitation in home offered better clinical outcomes compared to rehabilitation center (n=9).

Conclusions: This review provides evidence for the potential effectiveness of telerehabilitation for the improvement of clinical outcomes in MS patients. However, more robust randomized controlled trials are needed to confirm the observed positive effects.

**Keywords:** telerehabilitation, multiple sclerosis, effectiveness, clinical outcome, telemedicine

# Introduction

ultiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory and neurodegenerative disorder of the central nervous system with an unknown primary cause, in which autoimmunity plays a major role in the susceptibility and development of the disease. The course of the disease is very variable and has different types of Relapsing Remitting MS, Primary Progressive MS, and Secondary Progressive MS. In the world, about 2.8 million people are affected by it, and it is more common in women. The peak onset of the disease is around 20–40 years old. 1,2 Due to its progressive course and long survival time, MS can lead to a high prevalence of disabilities with negative effects on individual and social life. Several studies have examined the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Health Information Management, School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Clinical Neurology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Engineering and Information Technology, Shiraz University of Technology, Shiraz, Iran.

### EFFECTIVENESS OF TELEREHABILITATION FOR MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS

## **Conclusions**

This study confirms the effectiveness of traditional interventions and telerehabilitation to improve clinical outcomes in MS patients. Given the economic crisis of the countries, lack of clinical resources, progressive prevalence, and chronic nature of MS, the use of innovations such as telerehabilitation is more important than in the past. Therefore, more RCTs are needed to make a definitive statement about the effectiveness of telerehabilitation compared to traditional rehabilitation.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, and also Center for Development of Clinical Research of Nemazee Hospital and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for editorial assistance.

# **Authors' Contributions**

F.S. and L.E. were responsible for the study conception and design. F.S. and L.E. searched the relevant databases and included the appropriate articles according to the study objective. At the same time, L.E. supervised the whole project. All authors prepared the first draft of the article. All authors did the data analysis, made critical revisions to the article for important intellectual content, and supervised the study. All authors have read and approved the final article.

#### **Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

# **Funding Information**

No funding was received for this article.

#### REFERENCES

- Lane J, Ng HS, Poyser C, et al. Multiple sclerosis incidence: A systematic review of change over time by geographical region. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2022;63: 103932; doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2022.103932
- Correale J, Ysrraelit MC. Multiple sclerosis and aging: The dynamics of demyelination and remyelination. ASN Neuro 2022;14:17590914221118502; doi: 10.1177/17590914221118502
- Di Tella S, Pagliari C, Blasi V, et al. Integrated telerehabilitation approach in multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Telemed Telecare 2020;26(7–8):385–399; doi: 10.1177/1357633X19850381
- Khan F, Amatya B. Rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis: A systematic review of systematic reviews. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2017;98(2):353–367; doi: 10.1016/j .apmr.2016.04.016
- Cattaneo D, Rasova K, Gervasoni E, et al. Falls prevention and balance rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis: A bi-centre randomised controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil 2018;40(5):522–526; doi: 10.1080/09638288.2016.1258089
- Seron P, Oliveros M-J, Gutierrez-Arias R, et al. Effectiveness of telerehabilitation in physical therapy: A rapid overview. Phys Ther 2021;101(6): pzab053; doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab053

- Hair A, Monroe P, Ahmed B, et al. Apraxia world. In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children [Internet]. ACM: New York, NY, USA; 2018; pp. 119–131. Available from: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10 .1145/3202185.3202733
- Rimmer JH, Thirumalai M, Young H-J, et al. Rationale and design of the teleexercise and multiple sclerosis (TEAMS) study: A comparative effectiveness trial between a clinic-and home-based telerehabilitation intervention for adults with multiple sclerosis (MS) living in the deep south. Contemp Clin Trials 2018; 71:186–193; doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2018.05.016
- Kalron A, Achiron A, Pau M, et al. The effect of a telerehabilitation virtual reality intervention on functional upper limb activities in people with multiple sclerosis: A study protocol for the TEAMS pilot randomized controlled trial. Trials 2020;21(1):713; doi: 10.1186/s13063-020-04650-2
- Amatya B, Galea MP, Kesselring J, et al. Effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions in persons with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2015;4(4):358–369; doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2015.06.011
- Pagliari C, Di Tella S, Jonsdottir J, et al. Effects of home-based virtual reality telerehabilitation system in people with multiple sclerosis: A randomized controlled trial. J Telemed Telecare 2021;2021:1357633X211054839; doi: 10 .1177/1357633X211054839
- Chen P-H, Liu T-W. A pilot study of telepractice for teaching listening and spoken language to Mandarin-speaking children with congenital hearing loss. Deafness Educ Int.2017;19(3–4):134–143; doi: 10.1080/14643154.2017 .1402567
- Havenga E, Swanepoel DW, Le Roux T, et al. Tele-intervention for children with hearing loss: A comparative pilot study. J Telemed Telecare 2017;23(1):116– 125; doi: 10.1177/1357633X15617886
- Yaribakht M, Movallali G. The effects of an early family-centered teleintervention on the preverbal and listening skills of deaf children under two years old. Iranian Rehabil J 2020;18(2):117–124; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10 .32598/iri.18.2.186.4
- Ahmadi Marzaleh M, Peyravi M, Azhdari N, et al. Virtual reality applications for rehabilitation of COVID-19 patients: A systematic review. Health Sci Rep 2022; 5(6):e853; doi: 10.1002/hsr2.853
- Marzaleh MA, Peyravi M, Azhdari N, et al. Application of telerehabilitation for older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. Disaster Med Public Health Prep 2022;17:e402; doi: 10.1017/dmp.2022.219
- Velayati F, Ayatollahi H, Hemmat M. A systematic review of the effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions for therapeutic purposes in the elderly. Methods Inf Med 2020;59(2/3):104–109.
- Torpil B, Kaya Ö. The effectiveness of client-centered intervention with telerehabilitation method after total knee arthroplasty. OTJR (Thorofare N J) 2020;59(2–3):104–109; doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1713398
- Vellata C, Belli S, Balsamo F, et al. Effectiveness of telerehabilitation on motor impairments, non-motor symptoms and compliance in patients with Parkinson's disease: A systematic review. Front Neurol 2021;12:627999; doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.627999
- Ortiz Gutiérrez R, Galán del Río F, Cano de la Cuerda R, et al. A telerehabilitation program by virtual reality-video games improves balance and postural control in multiple sclerosis patients. NeuroRehabilitation 2013;33(4): 545–554; doi: 10.3233/NRE-130995
- 21. Barksdale H, McHugh B, Hodges W, et al. Tele-rehabilitation for individuals with multiple sclerosis and mobility disorders—A feasibility and pilot study. Telehealth Med Today 2020;5(4):229; doi: 10.30953/tmt.v5.229
- Fjeldstad-Pardo C, Thiessen A, Pardo G. Telerehabilitation in multiple sclerosis: Results of a randomized feasibility and efficacy pilot study. Int J Telerehabil 2018;10(2):55–64; doi: 10.5195/ijt.2018.6256
- 23. Truijen S, Abdullahi A, Bijsterbosch D, et al. Effect of home-based virtual reality training and telerehabilitation on balance in individuals with Parkinson disease, multiple sclerosis, and stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurol Sci 2022;43(5):2995–3006; doi: 10.1007/s10072-021-05855-2