ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access WILEY

# The comparative evaluation of the effects of quercetin, α‐tocopherol, and chlorhexidine dentin pretreatments on the durability of universal adhesives

Marzieh Moradian<sup>1</sup> | Maryam Saadat<sup>1</sup> | Fatemeh Sohrabniya<sup>2</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Oral and Dental Disease Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Mohammad Afifian<sup>2</sup>

2 Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

#### **Correspondence**

Maryam Saadat, Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Oral and Dental Disease Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Email: [dr.maryam.saadat@gmail.com](mailto:dr.maryam.saadat@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the effects of chlorhexidine, quercetin, and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol on the shear bond strength of universal adhesives in the short (24h) and long term (6 months).

Material and Methods: Ninety‐six extracted sound molars were collected and divided randomly into four groups: control (no treatment), 2% chlorhexidine, 10% α‐tocopherol, and 1% quercetin. The solutions were prepared and applied to the teeth for 60 s, followed by application of All‐Bond universal adhesive and composite build-up. Half of the specimens in each group  $(n = 12)$  were tested for shear bond strength (SBS) after 24 h of storage and the other half were kept in distilled water for 6 months and then tested for shear bond strength. The shear bond strength test was performed and the failure modes were determined using a stereomicroscope. The data were analyzed using two‐way analysis of variance and Tukey's post hoc tests with  $p < 0.05$  as the significance level.

Results: The results of the two‐way analysis of variance test showed that there was no significant difference in immediate SBS, and after 6 months, α‐tocopherol had the lowest SBS in comparison to the control and CHX subgroups ( $p < .05$ ). The t-test showed that the shear bond strength in the α-tocopherol and quercetin groups was significantly decreased after 6 months.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that the solutions used in this study had no adverse effect on immediate SBS. After 6 months, the CHX could preserve SBS in comparison to other groups.

#### KEYWORDS

α‐tocopherol, chlorhexidine, dentin bonding agents, quercetin

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Clinical and Experimental Dental Research published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

The resin–dentin bond is an important factor in the success of composite restoration. The hybrid layer is responsible for resin–dentin bonding and consists of resin tags trapped in collagen fibrils in dentinal tubules (Mehta & Subramani, [2012;](#page-5-0) Memarpour et al., [2014](#page-6-0)). Therefore, the durability of the hybrid layer depends on the stability of collagen fibrils. However, over time, enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which are present in the saliva and dentin, degrade collagen fibrils, leading to gap formation and microleakage in composite resin restorations (Delaviz et al., [2014](#page-5-1)).

Variations in MMPs have been recognized such as MMP‐2, MMP‐8, MMP9, and MMP‐20 in the carious lesions (Kiuru et al., [2021](#page-5-2)). The protein matrix of dentin is composed of 90% collagen and 10% non-collagen proteins. The collagen proteins can be cut into fragments by MMP‐8 and further degraded by MMP‐2 and MMP‐9 demineralization of dentin by acid in the carious lesion (Moon et al., [2010\)](#page-6-1).

The activation of endogenous enzymes can be done by the low pH of the self‐etching adhesive system or phosphoric acid etching or even other intrinsic enzymes. Active MMPs help to interrupt nonprotected collagen fibrils as a result of incomplete monomer penetration and play a main role in degradation of the hybrid layer over time (Carrilho et al., [2007;](#page-5-3) Pashley et al., [2004\)](#page-6-2).

Etch-and-rinse adhesives aggressively demineralize the dentin surface up to a depth of 5 μm. Mild self-etch (SE) adhesives partially demineralize the dentin surface only to a depth of <1 μm. Therefore, a mild SE adhesive can release a small amount of enzymes and expose less collagen susceptible for hydrolysis (De Munck et al., [2010](#page-6-3)). The current formulations of SE adhesives have integrated all ingredients into a single bottle to create one‐step systems that include the usage of a single‐step clinical application (Apolonio et al., [2017](#page-5-4)). Universal adhesives are very popular because they are easy to use and user-friendly. They can chemically bond to various substrates such as the teeth and direct and indirect restorations (Jang et al., [2016](#page-5-5)).

A durable bond in restorative dentistry can be achieved by using MMP inhibitors, antibacterial agents, and collagen cross-linkers (Tjäderhane et al., [2013;](#page-6-4) Zhou et al., [2019\)](#page-6-5). Some materials such as CHX have these properties. According to the results of many studies, CHX increases the bond strength of the composite, especially in the long term. At the same time, there are reports that have shown the possibility of CHX's toxicity (Brackett et al., [2007](#page-5-6); Loguercio et al., [2009](#page-5-7); Moon et al., [2010](#page-6-1); Münchow & Bottino, [2017\)](#page-6-6). Therefore, quercetin and α‐tocopherol have been suggested as substitutes for CHX in many studies.

Quercetin is another MMP‐inhibiting substance. It is a natural flavonoid that is present in a wide variety of vegetables (Porto et al., [2018](#page-6-7)). It functions as a pleiotropic molecule with antiproliferation, antioxidant, anti‐inflammatory, and anticancer properties (I. Porto et al., [2021\)](#page-6-8). Recent evidence demonstrates that quercetin can reduce the protein levels of MMP‐2 and MMP‐9 (Lu et al., [2018](#page-5-8)) and improve the longevity of the resin–dentin bond (Dávila‐Sánchez et al., [2020](#page-5-9); Z. Liu et al., [2021](#page-5-10); Mehmood et al., [2021](#page-5-11)).

As a natural and powerful antioxidant in the lipid phase, vitamin E (α‐tocopherol) has been proven to be a free radical scavenger. Some studies have demonstrated a positive effect of this substance on the adhesive interface durability (Daood et al., [2019,](#page-5-12) [2020;](#page-5-13) Gotti et al., [2015](#page-5-14)). Other studies have shown that antioxidants could decrease or inhibit the activity of MMPs in various tissues such as the skin or fibrosarcoma cells (Nam & Kim, [2013](#page-6-9); Nassar et al., [2014;](#page-6-10) Pandel et al., [2013;](#page-6-11) Verma et al., [2014\)](#page-6-12).

The factors affecting the time‐dependent reduction of bond strength in universal adhesives have been investigated in many studies. However, the effects of MMP inhibitors such as quercetin and α‐tocopherol on the prevention of bond strength reduction over time are still the subject of debate.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate and compare effects of dentin pretreatment with CHX, α‐tocopherol, and quercetin on the shear bond strength of dental adhesives after 24h and 6 months. The null hypotheses tested were as follows: (1) there was no difference in the shear bond between pretreatments and (2) there was no difference in the shear bond between beginning and 6 months' time.

# 2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Experimental design

Ninety‐six extracted sound molars were collected and stored in distilled water at 4°C for two months. The teeth were collected according to the guidelines of the Research Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Protocol # IR.SUMS.DENTAL.REC.1399.049). Then, the enamel of the occlusal surface was removed using a high‐speed diamond saw under a water coolant in order to obtain a sound dentin without pulp exposure. As a result, the mid‐coronal dentin was exposed. The teeth were mounted in acrylic resin cylindrical blocks 2 cm in diameter, 2.5 cm in height, and 1 mm apical to CEJ.

To create a standard smear layer, the dentinal surface was gently polished with a 600‐grit aluminum oxide abrasive paper for 60 s under the slow flow of a water coolant. Then, the teeth were rinsed with distilled water for 10 s to remove the debris.

### 2.2 | Specimen preparation

The teeth were randomly divided into four groups ( $n = 24$ ) based on the pretreatments used: (1) Group A (control): no pretreatments; (2) Group B: 2% CHX solution (Consepsis; Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA) (Coelho et al., [2020](#page-5-15)); (3) Group C: a 10% α‐tocopherol solution was prepared by dissolving 10 g of α‐tocopherol gel (Sigma‐ Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 100 ml of ethyl alcohol in a standard flask (Kavitha et al., [2016\)](#page-5-16); and (4) Group D: a 1% quercetin solution (Sigma‐Aldrich) was prepared by dissolving 1 g of quercetin powder directly into pure ethanol under water‐bath heating at 37°C (Li et al., [2017](#page-5-17)). The pretreatment solutions were actively applied to the dentin

 20574347, 2022, 6, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cre2.667 by Readcube (Labtiva Inc.), Wiley Online Library on [18/12/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License20574347, 2022, 6, Downloaded from https://on elibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cre2.667 by Readcube (Labtiva Inc.), Wiley Online Library on [18/12/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https: and-conditions on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

surface by tapping with a microbrush. After 60 s, the teeth were rinsed with water for 10 s and gently dried with a cotton roll.

## 2.3 | Restorative protocol

In the bonding procedure, a universal adhesive system (All‐Bond Universal; Bisco, Schaumburg, IL, USA) was applied based on the manufacturer's guidelines in self‐etch mode. Accordingly, two coats of adhesive were used. The first coat was actively applied with a microbrush and then air‐dried for 10s. Then, without any light curing, the second coat was applied like the first one, dried, and light‐cured with an LED unit (Demi Plus, Kerr, Switzerland) for 10s at a light intensity of 1200 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Afterward, the composite resin (Aelite, All-Purpose Body; Bisco) was built upon the dentin surface of each tooth by using a plastic mold measuring 3 mm in diameter and 2 mm in height. The excess composite resin was removed with an explorer. Then, the composite resin was light‐cured for 20 s. After removing the plastic mold, all samples were post-cured for 20 s. The treatment agents used in this study, compositions, PH, and manufacture are shown in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Then, each group was randomly divided into two subgroups for 24 h of storage and 6 months of storage  $(n = 12)$ . The latter were stored in distilled water at 4°C for 6 months. Water was changed weekly in order to prevent bacterial growth and accelerate the degradation process.

## 2.4 | Shear bond strength test

The specimens were tested in shear mode using a chisel‐shaped rod of a universal testing machine (Zwick; Roell, Z020, Germany) at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. The force at failure was recorded in Newtons (N), and the shear bond strength values were calculated in MPa.

The shear bond strength was the chosen method for testing the specimens in this study. Shear bond strength is a gold standard, which is why it has been widely used in previous studies (Bharti et al., [2018](#page-5-18); Dos Santos et al., [2005](#page-6-13); Moon et al., [2010](#page-6-1)).

## 2.5 | Mode of failure analysis

To analyze the failure mode after the shear bond test, the specimens were observed under a stereomicroscope (Bestscope, Shi Jing Shan District, Beijing, China) with a magnitude of ×20. The failure modes were

classified into four groups: adhesive failure (AF); cohesive failure in dentin (CD); cohesive failure in composite resin (CR); and mixed failure (MF).

## 2.6 | Statistical analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 25). The normality of the data was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Two-way analysis of variance was carried out to compare the interaction effect between pretreatment solutions and different times. Tukey's post‐hoc test was performed for pair‐wise comparison of the groups. A  $p$  value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 3 | RESULTS

The mean shear bond strength values and standard deviations in all groups are presented in Table [2](#page-3-0). The data showed a normal distribution. The results of two‐way analysis of variance indicated that there was a significant interaction effect between pretreatment solutions and different times ( $p < .05$ ).

There was no significant difference among the 24‐h subgroups  $(p > .05)$ . However, a significant difference was found among the 6-month subgroups ( $p < .05$ ). After 24 h, the highest SBS was noted in quercetin, followed by CHX, control, and α‐tocopherol. However, there was no significant difference in immediate SBS. After 6 months, the highest SBS was noted in CHX, followed by control, quercetin, and α‐tocopherol. Quercetin and α‐tocopherol decreased SBS, and this decrease was significant in the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol group. Figure [1](#page-3-1) shows the comparison of the efficacy of these materials.

Tukey's test in the 6‐month group showed a significant difference between the α-tocopherol and control subgroups and between the α-tocopherol and CHX subgroups ( $p$  < .05). Moreover, the results of the t‐test showed that the shear bond strength in the α‐tocopherol and quercetin groups was significantly decreased after 6 months. Also, the results of the failure mode analysis are shown in Figure [2](#page-4-0). Adhesive failure occurred among all the experimental groups.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

Many studies have shown that CHX increases the bond strength of the composite in the long run. However, there are concerns about its toxicity (Brackett et al., [2007;](#page-5-6) Loguercio et al., [2009;](#page-5-7) Moon et al.,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>TABLE 1 Treatment agents used in this study, compositions, PH, and manufacture

<b>Treatment agent</b>	Composition	<b>PH</b>	Manufacture
Chlorhexidine	Ethyl alcohol polyethylene glycol dimethicone chlorhexidine gluconate	$5.2 - 6.2$	Consepsis, Ultradent, USA
a-Tocopherol	$DL-a-Tocopherol \geq 95.5\%$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA
Quercetin	$3,3',4',5,7$ -pentahydroxyflavone $\geq 95\%$ (HPLC)		Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA
<b>All-Bond Universal</b>	MDP phosphate monomer HEMA ethanol water initiators	3.1	All-Bond Universal, Bisco, Schaumburg, USA

[2010](#page-6-1); Münchow & Bottino, [2017](#page-6-6)). Thus, a substitute for CHX with similar effects and without toxicity should be found. Quercetin and α‐tocopherol have been suggested as substitutes for CHX in many studies. The aim of the current study was to compare them with CHX. In this study, the shear bond strength test, which is an available and standard method, was used. In addition, the shear bond strength test has been widely used in previous studies (Dos Santos et al., [2005](#page-6-13); Josic et al., [2021](#page-5-19); Moon et al., [2010](#page-6-1)).

In the present study, CHX with 2% concentration was chosen. In this regard, Campus et al. showed that with the use of lower concentrations of CHX, bond preservation does not occur (Campos et al., [2009](#page-5-20)).

The results of the current study showed that application of CHX can preserve the bond strength after 6 months of storage compared with the same control group. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected. The same result was obtained by previous studies (Bravo et al., [2017;](#page-5-21) Simões et al., [2014](#page-6-14)). The most rational explanation for these results is the ability of CHX to inhibit MMP‐2,8,9 and cysteine cathepsin enzymes, which play an important role in the degradation of the hybrid layer (Y. Liu et al., [2011](#page-5-22)).

Another material that has been discussed in recent studies is quercetin. Quercetin is recommended for improving the bond durability because of its good properties including the inhibition of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE 2 The mean shear bond strength values and the standard deviations (MPa) in all the experimental groups

	Storage period	
<b>Pretreatment</b>	24h	6 months
Control	$13.27 \pm 2.09$ Aa	$11.24 \pm 2.68$ Aa
<b>CHX</b>	$14.08 \pm 3.70$ Aa	$13.11 \pm 1.92$ Aa
a-tocopherol	$13.02 \pm 3.22$ Aa	$7.60 \pm 4.08$ Rh
<b>Quercetin</b>	$15.77 + 2.5$ Aa	$10.12 \pm 3.56$ Bab

Note: The mean values, followed by the same uppercase letter indicate no statistically significant difference in the row and the mean values, followed by the same lowercase letter indicate no statistically significant difference in the column ( $p > .05$ ).

ROS (reactive oxygen species) and COX‐2 (cyclooxygenase) production, leading to the inhibition of MMPs (Li et al., [2017;](#page-5-17) Lu et al., [2018;](#page-5-8) Porto et al., [2018](#page-6-7)). In addition, it is an antimicrobial agent against gram‐positive and ‐negative bacteria and viruses. These properties make quercetin a useful agent for preventing recurrent caries. It is believed that using this material in adhesive formulations leads to a desirable bonding stability, prevents secondary caries, and decreases the need for frequent restoration replacements.

In this study, quercetin improved the bond strength after 24 h compared with the other groups, but this was not significant. This is in line with some previous studies (Porto et al., [2018,](#page-6-7) [2021\)](#page-6-8). Quercetin crosslinks collagen in four different forces including van der Waals, hydrogen bond, hydrophobic, and electrostatic forces (Yang et al., [2009\)](#page-6-15). It might be assumed that these forces increase the bond strength immediately. However, some of them are destroyed in the long run and can no longer act as cross‐linkers. This might be the reason for the decrease in bond strength after 6 months. In the current study, the shear bond strength was decreased in the quercetin group compared with the CHX and control groups after 6 months of storage; however, this difference was not significant. This decrease can be attributed to the structural changes of quercetin that occur in acidic conditions. To explain this issue, Andrés Dávila‐ Sánchez et al. claimed that dentin pretreatment with quercetin increased the microtensile bond strength significantly after 24h. This increase was not observed after thermocycling, and the microtensile bond strength decreased significantly. They stated that the decrease in pH that occurs after applying adhesives and in the process of thermocycling changed the molecular structure of quercetin and compromised its effect over time (Dávila‐Sánchez et al., [2020](#page-5-9)). This means that quercetin cannot function in an acidic environment as a collagen cross‐linker, especially in long‐term storage. This explains the results of the current study.

Kang Li and others introduced quercetin as a simple but versatile primer. They showed that quercetin/ethanol 1.0 wt% decreased the activities of MMPs in the hybrid layer. Furthermore, pretreatment with quercetin/ethanol 0.5 and 1.0 wt% killed bacteria such as Streptococcus mutans and inhibited biofilm growth (Li et al., [2017](#page-5-17)).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIGURE 1 Comparison of the pretreatments over time.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIGURE 2 The results of the failure mode analysis. AF, adhesive fracture; CD, cohesive in dentin; CR, cohesive in composite resin; MF, mixed fracture.

In addition, it has been stated that use of quercetin may lead to two issues. First, when mixed with adhesives, quercetin, as an antioxidant, may impair the polymerization procedure and reduce the bond strength. Second, like most antibacterial materials, quercetin is released in two stages. The first stage is the burst phase in the first few days in which a high concentration of antioxidants is released. The second stage is the tail-release phase in the next few weeks in which antioxidant concentrations are lower than the efficacy level. Nevertheless, quercetin is hardly soluble, and it is assumed that it will not be washed away by saliva. Therefore, it might be used as a long‐ term antibacterial‐releasing material. Hence, in this study, quercetin with the concentration of 1% was chosen as a dentin pretreatment to achieve optimal antibacterial performance.

Although there is a plethora of research on the antioxidant effect of α‐tocopherol on the bond strength of the bleached enamel or dentin to composite, few studies have assessed the MMP‐inhibitory effect of α‐tocopherol on the bond strength of composite to the dentin. This study showed the effect of dentin pretreatment with α‐tocopherol on the shear bond strength.

In this study, α‐tocopherol decreased the bond strength significantly in the long run. In line with this study, Gotti et al. (Valeria et al., [2015\)](#page-6-16) found that adhesives doped with vitamin E may decrease the bond strength compared with the control group. They stated that hydrophobic antioxidants like vitamin E might impair the polymerization procedure and form more linear polymers. This compromises the bond strength, which could be the reason for the decreased shear bond strength in the α‐tocopherol group.

Finally, El‐Deeb et al. showed that the specimens stored in distilled water had a significantly lower bond strength than those stored in artificial saliva (El‐Deeb et al., [2016\)](#page-5-23). Although the specimens in the current study were kept in distilled water, quercetin and CHX maintained bond integrity. It is assumed that these two materials could perform better in clinical situations.

The outcomes of this study cannot necessarily be generalized to other brands of universal adhesives. The results of this study cannot be generalized to clinical conditions because the specimens were not subjected to thermocycling periods and pulpal pressure. After the application of quercetin on dentin, a shift was observed in the dentin color (yellow). This observation is important for clinical considerations.

Future studies should analyze other concentrations of these materials, other brands of adhesives, and adhesives doped with other solutions. In addition, other aspects such as the degree of conversion and the polymerization rate should be evaluated.

# 5 | CONCLUSION

One of the limitations of this study is that there is no difference between the control group in 24 h with use of CHX, α-tocopherol, and quercetin. In 6 months, CHX did not impair the shear bond, showing that was no difference between the control group and the CHX group. It can be concluded that CHX could preserve SBS in comparison to other groups.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Marzieh Moradian and Maryam Saadat developed the concept and theory. All authors carried out the experiment and contributed to the final version of the manuscript. Marzieh Moradian and Maryam Saadat supervised the project. Fatemeh Sohrabniya and Mohammad Afifian performed the analytic calculations and performed the numerical simulations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Vice‐Chancellery of Research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, for supporting this study and the Biomaterial Research Center of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences for testing the specimens (no. 20084). This article was based on the theses of Dr. Fatemeh Sohrabniya and Dr. Mohammad Afifian. The authors thank Dr. Mehrdad Vossoughi from the Dental Research

Development Center for the statistical analyses. The authors are grateful to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, and also the Center for Development of Clinical Research of Nemazee Hospital and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for editorial assistance.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

#### ORCID

Maryam Saadat **b** <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1986-0679>

### REFERENCES

- <span id="page-5-4"></span>Apolonio, F. M., Mazzoni, A., Angeloni, V., Scaffa, P. M., Santi, S., Saboia, V. P., Tay, F. R., Pashley, D. H., & Breschi, L. (2017). Effect of a one‐step self‐etch adhesive on endogenous dentin matrix metalloproteinases. European Journal of Oral Sciences, 125(2), 168–172. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eos.12337>
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>Bharti, N., Chandra, A., Tikku, A. P., Verma, P., Bharti, R., Shakya, V. K., & Bains, R. (2018). An ex vivo evaluation of effect of dentin pretreatment with various agents for varying time intervals on the shear bond strength of resin. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD, 21(1), 37–41. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.Jcd\\_227\\_16](https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.Jcd_227_16)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>Brackett, W. W., Tay, F. R., Brackett, M. G., Dib, A., Sword, R. J., & Pashley, D. H. (2007). The effect of chlorhexidine on dentin hybrid layers in vivo. Operative Dentistry, 32(2), 107-111. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2341/06-55) [10.2341/06-55](https://doi.org/10.2341/06-55)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>Bravo, C., Sampaio, C., Hirata, R., Puppin‐Rontani, R., Mayoral, J., & Giner, L. (2017). Effect of 2% chlorhexidine on dentin shear bond strength of different adhesive systems: A 6 months evaluation. International Journal of Morphology, 35, 1140–1146. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022017000300052) [10.4067/S0717-95022017000300052](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022017000300052)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>Campos, E. A., Correr, G. M., Leonardi, D. P., Barato‐Filho, F., Gonzaga, C. C., & Zielak, J. C. (2009). Chlorhexidine diminishes the loss of bond strength over time under simulated pulpal pressure and thermo‐mechanical stressing. Journal of Dentistry, 37(2), 108–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2008.10.003>
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>Carrilho, M. R., Carvalho, R. M., de Goes, M. F., di Hipólito, V., Geraldeli, S., Tay, F. R., Pashley, D. H., & Tjäderhane, L. (2007). Chlorhexidine preserves dentin bond in vitro. Journal of Dental Research, 86(1), 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910708600115>
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>Coelho, A., Amaro, I., Rascão, B., Marcelino, I., Paula, A., Saraiva, J., Spagnuolo, G., Marques Ferreira, M., Miguel Marto, C., & Carrilho, E. (2020). Effect of cavity disinfectants on dentin bond strength and clinical success of composite restorations—A systematic review of in vitro, in situ and clinical studies. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22(1), 353. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010353>
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>Daood, U., Matinlinna, J. P., & Fawzy, A. S. (2019). Synergistic effects of VE‐TPGS and riboflavin in crosslinking of dentine. Dental Materials, 35(2), 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2018.11.031>
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>Daood, U., Sauro, S., Pichika, M. R., Omar, H., Liang Lin, S., & Fawzy, A. S. (2020). Novel riboflavin/VE‐TPGS modified universal dentine adhesive with superior dentine bond strength and self‐crosslinking potential. Dental Materials, 36(1), 145–156. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2019.11.003) [1016/j.dental.2019.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2019.11.003)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>Dávila‐Sánchez, A., Gutierrez, M. F., Bermudez, J. P., Méndez‐Bauer, M. L., Hilgemberg, B., Sauro, S., Loguercio, A. D., & Arrais, C. A. G. (2020). Influence of flavonoids on long‐term bonding stability on

caries-affected dentin. Dental Materials, 36(9), 1151-1160. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2020.05.007) [doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2020.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2020.05.007)

- <span id="page-5-1"></span>Delaviz, Y., Finer, Y., & Santerre, J. P. (2014). Biodegradation of resin composites and adhesives by oral bacteria and saliva: A rationale for new material designs that consider the clinical environment and treatment challenges. Dental Materials, 30(1), 16–32. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2013.08.201) [org/10.1016/j.dental.2013.08.201](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2013.08.201)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>El‐Deeb, H. A., Daifalla, L. E., Badran, O. I., & Mobarak, E. H. (2016). Bond strength durability of different adhesives to dentin after aging in two different solutions. The Journal of Adhesive Dentistry, 18(4), 303–309. <https://doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a36153>
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>Gotti, V. B., Feitosa, V. P., Sauro, S., Correr‐Sobrinho, L., Leal, F. B., Stansbury, J. W., & Correr, A. B. (2015). Effect of antioxidants on the dentin interface bond stability of adhesives exposed to hydrolytic degradation. The Journal of Adhesive Dentistry, 17(1), 35-44. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a33515) [doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a33515](https://doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a33515)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>Jang, J. H., Lee, M. G., Woo, S. U., Lee, C. O., Yi, J. K., & Kim, D. S. (2016). Comparative study of the dentin bond strength of a new universal adhesive. Dental Materials Journal, 35(4), 606–612. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.4012/dmj.2015-422) [10.4012/dmj.2015-422](https://doi.org/10.4012/dmj.2015-422)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>Josic, U., Maravic, T., Mazzitelli, C., Del Bianco, F., Mazzoni, A., & Breschi, L. (2021). The effect of chlorhexidine primer application on the clinical performance of composite restorations: A literature review. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 33(1), 69–77. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.12701>
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>Kavitha, M., Selvaraj, S., Khetarpal, A., Raj, A., Pasupathy, S., & Shekar, S. (2016). Comparative evaluation of superoxide dismutase, alpha‐tocopherol, and 10% sodium ascorbate on reversal of shear bond strength of bleached enamel: An in vitro study. European Journal of Dentistry, 10(1), 109–115. [https://doi.org/10.4103/1305-](https://doi.org/10.4103/1305-7456.175693) [7456.175693](https://doi.org/10.4103/1305-7456.175693)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>Kiuru, O., Sinervo, J., Vähänikkilä, H., Anttonen, V., & Tjäderhane, L. (2021). MMP inhibitors and dentin bonding: Systematic review and Meta‐Analysis. International Journal of Dentistry, 2021, 9949699. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9949699>
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>Li, K., Yang, H., Yan, H., Sun, Y., Chen, X., Guo, J., Yue, J., & Huang, C. (2017). Quercetin as a simple but versatile primer in dentin bonding [10.1039/C7RA07467K]. RSC Advances, 7(58), 36392–36402. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA07467K>
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>Liu, Y., Tjäderhane, L., Breschi, L., Mazzoni, A., Li, N., Mao, J., Pashley, D. H., & Tay, F. R. (2011). Limitations in bonding to dentin and experimental strategies to prevent bond degradation. Journal of Dental Research, 90(8), 953–968. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0022](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034510391799) [034510391799](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034510391799)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>Liu, Z., Feng, X., Wang, X., Yang, S., Mao, J., & Gong, S. (2021). Quercetin as an auxiliary endodontic irrigant for root canal treatment: Antibiofilm and dentin collagen‐stabilizing effects in vitro. Materials, 14(5), 1178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14051178>
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>Loguercio, A. D., Stanislawczuk, R., Polli, L. G., Costa, J. A., Michel, M. D., & Reis, A. (2009). Influence of chlorhexidine digluconate concentration and application time on resin‐dentin bond strength durability. European Journal of Oral Sciences, 117(5), 587–596. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2009.00663.x) [org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2009.00663.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2009.00663.x)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>Lu, J., Wang, Z., Li, S., Xin, Q., Yuan, M., Li, H., Song, X., Gao, H., Pervaiz, N., Sun, X., Lv, W., Jing, T., & Zhu, Y. (2018). Quercetin inhibits the migration and invasion of HCCLM3 cells by suppressing the expression of p‐Akt1, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) MMP‐2, and MMP-9. Medical Science Monitor, 24, 2583-2589. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.12659/msm.906172) [org/10.12659/msm.906172](https://doi.org/10.12659/msm.906172)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>Mehmood, N., Nagpal, R., Singh, U. P., & Agarwal, M. (2021). Effect of dentin biomodification techniques on the stability of the bonded interface. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD, 24(3), 265–270. https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.jcd 106 21
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>Mehta, M., & Subramani, K. (2012). Chapter 21—Nanodiagnostics in microbiology and dentistry. In K. Subramani & W. Ahmed (Eds.),

Emerging nanotechnologies in dentistry (pp. 365–390). William Andrew Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-7862-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-7862-1.00021-3) [00021-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-7862-1.00021-3)

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Memarpour, M., Shafiei, F., & Moradian, M. (2014). Effects of disinfectant agents on microleakage in primary tooth‐colored restorations: An in vitro study. Journal of Dentistry for Children, 81(2), 56–62.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Moon, P. C., Weaver, J., & Brooks, C. N. (2010). Review of matrix metalloproteinases' effect on the hybrid dentin bond layer stability and chlorhexidine clinical use to prevent bond failure. The Open Dentistry Journal, 4, 147–152. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210601004010147>
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>Münchow, E. A., & Bottino, M. C. (2017). Recent advances in adhesive bonding—The role of biomolecules, nanocompounds, and bonding strategies in enhancing resin bonding to dental substrates. Current Oral Health Reports, 4(3), 215–227. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40496-017-0146-y) [s40496-017-0146-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40496-017-0146-y)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>De Munck, J., Mine, A., Van den Steen, P. E., Van Landuyt, K. L., Poitevin, A., Opdenakker, G., & Van Meerbeek, B. (2010). Enzymatic degradation of adhesive‐dentin interfaces produced by mild self‐ etch adhesives. European Journal of Oral Sciences, 118(5), 494–501. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2010.00758.x>
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>Nam, H., & Kim, M.‐M. (2013). Eugenol with antioxidant activity inhibits MMP‐9 related to metastasis in human fibrosarcoma cells. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 55, 106–112.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>Nassar, M., Hiraishi, N., Shimokawa, H., Tamura, Y., Otsuki, M., Kasugai, S., Ohya, K., & Tagami, J. (2014). The inhibition effect of non‐protein thiols on dentinal matrix metalloproteinase activity and HEMA cytotoxicity. Journal of Dentistry, 42(3), 312–318.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>Pandel, R., Poljšak, B., Godic, A., & Dahmane, R. (2013). Skin photoaging and the role of antioxidants in its prevention. International Scholarly Research Notices, 2013, 930164.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Pashley, D. H., Tay, F. R., Yiu, C., Hashimoto, M., Breschi, L., Carvalho, R. M., & Ito, S. (2004). Collagen degradation by hostderived enzymes during aging. Journal of Dental Research, 83(3), 216–221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910408300306>
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>Porto, I., Nascimento, T. G., Oliveira, J. M. S., Freitas, P. H., Haimeur, A., & França, R. (2018). Use of polyphenols as a strategy to prevent bond degradation in the dentin‐resin interface. European Journal of Oral Sciences, 126(2), 146–158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eos.12403>
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>Porto, I., Rocha, A. B. B., Ferreira, I. I. S., de Barros, B. M., Ávila, E. C., da Silva, M. C., de Oliveira, M. P. S., Lôbo, T., Oliveira, J., do Nascimento, T. G., de Freitas, J. M. D., & de Freitas, J. D. (2021). Polyphenols and Brazilian red propolis incorporated into a total‐etching adhesive system help in maintaining bonding

durability. Heliyon, 7(2), e06237. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06237) [2021.e06237](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06237)

- <span id="page-6-13"></span>Dos Santos, P. A., Garcia, P. P., & Palma‐Dibb, R. G. (2005). Shear bond strength of adhesive systems to enamel and dentin. Thermocycling influence. Journal of Materials Science. Materials in Medicine, 16(8), 727–732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-005-2609-2>
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>Simões, D. M., Basting, R. T., Amaral, F. L., Turssi, C. P., & França, F. M. (2014). Influence of chlorhexidine and/or ethanol treatment on bond strength of an etch‐and‐rinse adhesive to dentin: An in vitro and in situ study. Operative Dentistry, 39(1), 64-71. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2341/12-486-l) [2341/12-486-l](https://doi.org/10.2341/12-486-l)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Tjäderhane, L., Nascimento, F. D., Breschi, L., Mazzoni, A., Tersariol, I. L., Geraldeli, S., Tezvergil‐Mutluay, A., Carrilho, M., Carvalho, R. M., Tay, F. R., & Pashley, D. H. (2013). Strategies to prevent hydrolytic degradation of the hybrid layer—A review. Dental Materials, 29(10), 999–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2013.07.016>
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>Valeria, B., Victor, P., Fernanda, B., Jeffrey, W., & AmRico, B. (2015). Effect of antioxidants on the dentin interface bond stability of adhesives exposed to hydrolytic degradation. Journal of Adhesive Dentistry, 17(1), 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.3290/j.jad.a33515>
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>Verma, S., Kesh, K., Ganguly, N., Jana, S., & Swarnakar, S. (2014). Matrix metalloproteinases and gastrointestinal cancers: Impacts of dietary antioxidants. World Journal of Biological Chemistry, 5(3), 355–376.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>Yang, X., Wu, D., Du, Z., Li, R., Chen, X., & Li, X. (2009). Spectroscopy study on the interaction of quercetin with collagen. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 57(9), 3431–3435. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803671s) [10.1021/jf803671s](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803671s)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>Zhou, W., Liu, S., Zhou, X., Hannig, M., Rupf, S., Feng, J., Peng, X., & Cheng, L. (2019). Modifying adhesive materials to improve the longevity of resinous restorations. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20(3), 723. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20030723>

How to cite this article: Moradian, M., Saadat, M., Sohrabniya, F., & Afifian, M. (2022). The comparative evaluation of the effects of quercetin, α‐tocopherol, and chlorhexidine dentin pretreatments on the durability of universal adhesives. Clinical and Experimental Dental Research, 8, 1638–1644. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.667>