

RESEARCH

Open Access



Comparison of the teaching clinical biochemistry in face-to-face and the flex-flipped classroom to medical and dental students: a quasi-experimental study from IRAN

Zahra Karimian¹ , Pooneh Mokarram^{2*} and Nahid Zarifsanaiy¹

Abstract

Introduction Biochemistry is one of the main courses of basic sciences in the medical curriculum, along with other difficult subjects that are difficult to learn. The emergence of new technologies has made it possible to test new methods such as e-Learning. In this study, we compared two methods of Flex-Flipped Classroom (FFC) and face-to-face.

Method A quasi-experimental research was done which involved both medical and dental students studying the clinical biochemistry course in the joint semester in 2019. A total of 100 medical students were trained in biochemistry through face-to-face teaching, and 60 dental students were trained in the same course through the FFC model. Three researcher-made tools were used to compare the two groups to assess the student's satisfaction, scores, and self-evaluation. The content validity of the tools was checked using the opinions of 10 experts through the CVI index. The results were analyzed using one-sample t-tests, independent t-tests, and ANOVA.

Results Both groups scored significantly more than the cut-off-point (Mean > 3.5) in their average scores of the total and sub-components of the self-evaluation questionnaire ($P < 0.05$). Face-to-face teaching was viewed more favorably than the FFC teaching except for considering the flexibility (4.14 ± 1.55), but the difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$). The students' knowledge score in the FFC was slightly higher than that in the face-to-face method, but this difference was not significant ($P = 0.758$).

Conclusion Both face-to-face and FFC methods were effective according to the students, but the level of satisfaction with the face-to-face method was higher. It seems that teacher-student interaction is an important factor in students' preferences. However, the students preferred the flexibility of multimedia. It seems necessary to use the advantages of each method in a model appropriate to the students' conditions and available facilities.

Keywords Face-to-face education, e-learning, Blended learning, Flipped class, Flex model, Knowledge, Quality

*Correspondence:

Pooneh Mokarram
mokaram2@gmail.com

¹ Department of E-Learning in Medical Sciences, Virtual School and Center of Excellence in E-Learning, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

² Autophagy Research Center, Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Zand Street, Shiraz, Iran

Introduction

Biochemistry is one of the main subjects of the basic sciences in the medical curriculum, which is important for understanding the clinical sciences of the medical profession [1, 2]. Meanwhile, it is one of the hardest courses in basic medicine and an abstract subject that is difficult for students to learn [3, 4]. The course content is



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Abbreviations

FFC	Flex Flipped Classroom
SUMS	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences
LMS	Learning Management System
CVI	Content Validity Index
CBD	Case Based Discussion

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Center for Development of Clinical Research of Nemazee Hospital and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for editorial assistance. The authors also like to thank all Medical and Dentistry students who participated in this study. This research has been approved and confirmed by the Vice-Chancellor of Research and Technology of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences with the code number of 19923.

Authors' contributions

ZK developed the study idea, contributed to the study design, developed the questionnaire, conducted reliability and validity tests, analyzed and interpreted the statistical data, and wrote the first and final drafts of the study. PM contributed to the study design, developed the questionnaires, participated in the experimental intervention, and revised the final draft of the manuscript. NZ participated in the study design and revised the research proposal. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was designed as an educational comparison, and it did not pose any particular threat to the research subjects, and its results were only used to improve the students' education. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Before starting, the students were informed that they were participating in a research project, as the participants' data were collected and analyzed confidentially, and the participants entered the project knowingly and were free to withdraw from the research at any time. This study was reviewed by the ethics committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and approved with the ethics code number of IR.SUMS.REC.1400.148 and has been registered in the system of the National Ethics Committee in Environmental Research. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 26 May 2023 Accepted: 10 January 2024

Published online: 13 February 2024

References

- West DC, Pomeroy JR, Park JK, Gerstenberger EA, Sandoval J. Critical thinking in graduate medical education: A role for concept mapping assessment? *JAMA*. 2000;284(9):1105–10. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.284.9.1105>. PMID: 10974689.
- Zhang J, Cai Z, Zhao Z, Ji K. Cell phone-based online biochemistry and molecular biology medical education curriculum. *Med Educ Online*. 2017;22(1):1374135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2017.1374135>. PMID:28901222; PMCID:PMC5653940.
- Vadakedath S, Kandi V. Modified Conventional Teaching: An Assessment of Clinical Biochemistry Learning Process Among Medical Undergraduate Students Using the Traditional Teaching in Combination with Group Discussion. *Cureus*. 2019;11(8): e5396. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.5396>. PMID:31620321;PMCID:PMC6793614.
- Münch-Harrach D, Kothe C, Hampe W. Audio podcasts in practical courses in biochemistry - cost-efficient e-learning in a well-proven format from radio broadcasting. *GMS Z Med Ausbild*. 2013;30(4):Doc44. PMID: 24282447; PMCID: PMC3839072.
- Nair SP, Shah T, Seth S, Pandit N, Shah GV. Case based learning: a method for better understanding of biochemistry in medical students. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013;7(8):1576–8. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2013/5795.3212>.
- Vella F. Medical education: capitalizing on the lecture method. *FASEB J*. 1992;6(3):811–2. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.6.3.1497701>. PMID: 1497701.
- Siau K, Sheng H, Nah F-H. Use of a classroom response system to enhance classroom interactivity. *IEEE Trans Educ*. 2006;49:398–403. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2006.8798802>.
- Varghese J, Faith M, Jacob M. Impact of e-resources on learning in biochemistry: first-year medical students' perceptions. *BMC Med Educ*. 2012;12:21. Published 2012 May 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-12-21>
- Malekigorji M, Hatahet T. Classroom Response System in a Super-Blended Learning and Teaching Model: Individual or Team-Based Learning? *Pharmacy (Basel)*. 2020;8(4):197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy8040197>. PMID:33114396; PMCID: PMC7711766.
- Van Horn ER, Hyde YM, Tesh AS, Kautz DD. Teaching pathophysiology: strategies to enliven the traditional lecture. *Nurse Educ*. 2014;39(1):34–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NNE.0000437364.19090.be>. PMID: 24300257.
- Norouzi HM, Mohsenizadeh SM, Jafari Suny H, Ebrahimzadeh S. The effect of teaching using a blend of collaborative and mastery of learning models, on learning of vital signs: an experiment on nursing and operation room students of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. *Iranian Journal of Medical Education*. 2011;11(5):544–53.
- Powell K. Science education: spare me the lecture. *Nature*. 2003;425(6955):234–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/425234a>. Erratum in: *Nature*. 2003 Oct 9;425(6958):552. PMID: 13679886.
- Kühl SJ, Toberer M, Keis O, Tolks D, Fischer MR, Kühl M. Concept and benefits of the Inverted Classroom method for a competency-based biochemistry course in the pre-clinical stage of a human medicine course of studies. *GMS J Med Educ*. 2017;34(3):Doc31. <https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001108>. PMID: 28890922; PMCID: PMC5569983.
- Afshar M, Han Z. Teaching and Learning Medical Biochemistry: Perspectives from a Student and an Educator. *Med Sci Educ*. 2014;24(3):339–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-014-0004-7>.
- Watmough S, O'Sullivan H, Taylor D. Graduates from a traditional medical curriculum evaluate the effectiveness of their medical curriculum through interviews. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009;9:64–70. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-9-64>.
- Hooper J, O'Connor J, Cheesmar R. Learning clinical biochemistry using multimedia interactive clinical cases. *Clin Chim Acta*. 1996;248(1):119–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981\(95\)06272-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(95)06272-6). PMID: 8740576.
- Osheroff N, Niederhoffer EC, Sabina RL, Cline SD, Wimmer MJ, Franklin DS, Diekman AB, Hogg T, Kearney KR, King SC, Pearson D, Slaughter CA, Thompson K. Teaching Biochemistry to Students of Medicine, Pharmacy & Dentistry: 5th International Conference of the Association of Biochemistry Course Directors (ABCD) Santa Fe, NM, USA, May 3–7, 2015. *Med Sci Educ*. 2015 Dec;25(4):473–477. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-015-0160-4>. Epub 2015 Jul 22. PMID: 26693393; PMCID: PMC4684261.
- Howell ME, Booth CS, Sikich SM, Helikar T, Roston RL, Couch BA, van Dijk K. Student understanding of DNA structure–function relationships improves from using 3D learning modules with dynamic 3D printed models. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2019;47(3):303–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21234>.
- Howell, M. E., van Dijk, K., Booth, C. S., Helikar, T., Couch, B. A., & Roston, R. L. (2018). Visualizing the invisible: A guide to designing, printing, and incorporating dynamic 3D molecular models to teach structure–function relationships. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v19i3.1663>
- He T, Zhu C. Digital informal learning among Chinese university students: The effects of digital competence and personal factors. *Int J Educ Technol High Educ*. 2017;14:44.